

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/591,751		NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	CHRISTIAN L. FRONDA		1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CHRISTIAN L. FRONDA. *CJF* (3) _____
- (2) William R. Schmidt. *WRS* (4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejections of record were discussed. Claim amendments were discussed which may overcome the rejections under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112, 1st enablement. Applicants' response to be filed to the Office Action mailed 01/22/2009 will be considered, and the examiner will contact applicants to discuss any further issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christian L Fronda/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652